

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,105	10/20/2003	Bernard L. Dick	POPU.108853	4227
5251	7590 09/29/2004	•	EXAMINER	
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP			HURLEY, KEVIN	
2555 GRAN KANSAS C	ID BLVD ITY,, MO 64108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3611	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/689,105	DICK, BERNARD L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Hurley	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	=- s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) 13-25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 10-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application rity documents have been received in the contraction (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The claims include the use of the word "for" which indicates intended use. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

If Applicant(s) desire to give the phrase patentable weight, the Examiner respectfully recommends Applicant(s) remove "for" from the phrase where intended use is not desired.

- 3. Claims 1-2, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robb.

 Robb discloses an offset coupler device for use in connection with a trailer having a kingpin, the device comprising:
 - a first plate member having an opening 3 there through;
 - a support member coupled with the first plate member;
- a second plate member coupled with the support member, the second plate member having a kingpin 1 extending downwardly from a lower surface thereof, wherein the kingpin of

Art Unit: 3611

the second plate member is spaced apart from the opening in the first plate member,

whereby a central longitudinal axis of the kingpin of the second plate member does not pass through the opening in the first plate member,

and a means 4 including a clamp member for coupling the device to the trailer.

wherein the clamp member has first and second portions, a means for drawing the first and second portions together around a portion of the kingpin to clamp the clamp member thereto, and adjustable means for urging the first plate member against the skid plate to resist movement there between during use.

Note that claims 2, 10, and 12 recite limitations on the trailer, which is merely an intended use work object. The trailer itself has not been claimed. "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d 966, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3611

5. Claims 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robb.

Robb discloses the claimed invention the claimed invention except for the recited 5-18 inch distance. Such a distance would be a result of routine experimentation. "[I]t is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 13-25 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 16 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, applicant has argued that Robb does not disclose a first plate member having an opening 3 therethrough. The examiner feels the unlabeled plates are quite trivial. As seen in Robb Fig. 5 there is a first plate (the top plate), and a second plate (the bottom plate). As shown in Fig. 1 the aperture 3 extends completely through the device C, thus through both plates. Applicant also argues that Robb does not disclose a trailer with a kingpin extending therefrom. As noted at the end of paragraph 3 of the previous action, the trailer is merely an intended work object, and is not being claimed. Claim 23 is was rejected as it recites the same structure recited

Art Unit: 3611

in claim 1.

Regarding claim 23, applicant's arguments are persuasive as the Dobbs reference doesn't disclose the step of receiving the kingpin of the trailer in the opening of the offset coupler.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. As set forth in MPEP 714.13, it should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims. Except where an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some other way requires only a cursory review by the examiner, compliance with the requirement of a showing under 37

Art Unit: 3611

CFR 1.116(c) is expected in all amendments after final rejection. Failure to properly reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection results in abandonment.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Hurley whose telephone number is 703-308-0233. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Hurled
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611

September 23, 2004